

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FIL        | ING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/664,220                       | 09/17/2003 |            | Matthew Hall          | 160-03              | 3352            |
| 27569                            | 7590       | 09/22/2005 |                       | EXAMINER            |                 |
| PAUL AND                         |            | ·          | ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE |                     |                 |
| 2000 MARKET STREET<br>SUITE 2900 |            |            |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103           |            |            | 3676                  | <u> </u>            |                 |

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                      |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments  | 10/664,220   | HALL, MATTHEW                     |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit                          |  |  |  |  |
|   | Gary Estremsky   | 3676                              |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence address            |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u>  | <u>uly 2005</u> .  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This  | action is non-final.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under t  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 53 O.G. 213.                      |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,8,9,11 and 12 is/are rejected.   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 2-7 and 13-15 is/are objected to.   | r alastian requirement   |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/5/05.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office   | 6) Other:  | Pate Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |
| PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A   | ction Summary  | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 91705 |  |  |  |  |

HU

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,266,981 to Resch.

Resch '981 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including a "housing" - 1, a "main pawl" - 2, a "handle" - one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a 'handle' (not shown) is inherent to teaching of the reference through engagement with opening at 7, a "main pawl biasing device" - including 20, a "secondary pawl" - 3, a "detent device" - including 28,32 as described. As regards functionally-recited limitations of "for releasably securing a closure member in a frame to a keeper", "adapted for being received in an aperture formed in the closure member", "engageable with the keeper", "engageable with the frame", "whereby pivoting the detent device to an open position,..., is engaged with the keeper or the frame" do not positively recite any of the "closure", "frame", "keeper" as part of the invention, and do not clearly define any particular structure of the elements that are claimed as the invention in such a way as to patentably distinguish over those elements as they are taught by the prior art particularly since the prior art is inherently capable of such operation if used with

Page 3

appropriately-shaped closure, frame, and keeper structures. It has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951). It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138. See MPEP 2114 further as regards functional language and statements of intended use in a product claim. The law of anticipation requires that a distinction be made between the invention described or taught and the invention claimed. It does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches. Assuming that a reference is properly "prior art," it is only necessary that the claims under consideration "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claim are found in the reference, or "fully met" by it. Kalman v. Kimberly-Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 789. Claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974). Persuasive argument that the prior art does not anticipate claimed invention should point out particular structure that is clearly required as part of the claimed invention and that is not taught by the prior art.

As regards claims 11,12, spring 20 is shown to include a spiral and inherently biases a handle whereby broad limitations have not patentably distinguished from the well known structure of the prior art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-7 and 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims rejected hereinabove have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Pat. No. 2,640,720 to Brown.
- U.S. Pat. No. 4,906,044 to Wilstermann.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3676

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 571 272-7055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,220

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3676